

Scientific  
Correspondence  
in Science  
11 Jan 2002

## Minimizing Effects of CO<sub>2</sub> Storage in Oceans

THE POTENTIAL NEGATIVE BIOLOGICAL AND environmental impacts of sequestering carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) in the ocean by means of ocean fertilization (1) or direct CO<sub>2</sub> injection (2) are discussed in a Policy Forum and Perspective, respectively, in *Science's* 12 October issue. However, the oceans already serve as a repository of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub>, ingassing and storing ~2 picograms of carbon per year, an amount that could potentially have significant consequences for marine biota (3). The issue, therefore, is to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions or their impacts in ways that provide a net environmental benefit. It remains to be shown if the negative consequences of the purposeful ocean CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration strategies are real and worse than other alternatives. Should the environmental and climatic effects of unmitigated CO<sub>2</sub> release to the atmosphere make CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration a necessity, the potential of the oceans for such a repository should not be ignored.

One way to avoid some of the negative chemical and biological effects of ocean CO<sub>2</sub> storage would be first to react waste CO<sub>2</sub> with water and a carbonate mineral (e.g., limestone) to form dissolved bicarbonate (4) for release into the sea. This would simply speed up part of Earth's natural carbon cycle (carbonate weathering), which is already central in modulating atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, but over geologic time scales (5). The addition of alkalinity to the ocean resulting from this enhanced bicarbonate production would also help to buffer ocean acidification attributable to anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere (3). In any case, there are other ways to diminish the impact of our energy economy on the environment (6), and it would be shortsighted not to evaluate our options carefully.

G. H. RAU,<sup>1</sup> K. CALDEIRA<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Marine Sciences, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA. E-mail: rau4@llnl.gov. <sup>2</sup>Climate and Carbon Cycle Modeling Group, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550, USA. E-mail: kenc@llnl.gov

### References and Notes

1. S. W. Chisolm, P. G. Falkowski, J. J. Cullen, *Science* **294**, 309 (2001).
2. B. A. Seibel, P. J. Walsh, *Science* **294**, 319 (2001).
3. J. A. Kleypas *et al.*, *Science* **284**, 118 (1999); U. Riebesell *et al.*, *Nature* **407**, 364 (2000).
4. G. H. Rau, K. Caldeira, *Energy Convers. Manag.* **40**, 1803 (1999); K. Caldeira, G. H. Rau, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **27**, 225 (2000); G. H. Rau *et al.*, in *Proceedings of the First National Conference on Carbon Sequestration*, Washington, DC, 14 to 17 May 2001 [National Energy Technology Laboratory/U.S. Department of Energy (NETL/DOE), Washington, DC, 2001], available at <http://www.netl.doe.gov/publications/proceedings/01/carbon%5Fseq/p24.pdf>; D. S. Golomb, in *Proceedings of the First National Conference on Carbon Sequestration*, Washington, DC, 14 to 17 May 2001 (NETL/DOE, Washington, DC, 2001), available at <http://www.netl.doe.gov/publications/proceedings/01/carbon%5Fseq/p55.pdf>.
5. D. Archer *et al.*, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **24**, 405 (1997).
6. B. Metz *et al.*, *Climate Change 2001: Mitigation* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2001).